UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,859	08/16/2007	Lohr Joachim	L7725.06120	2204
⁵²⁹⁸⁹ Dickinson Wrig	7590 03/26/201 ht PLLC	EXAMINER		
James E. Ledbe	etter, Esq.	VIANA DI PRISCO, GERMAN		
International So 1875 Eye Street	quare t, N.W., Suite 1200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/589,859	JOACHIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO	2617		

	GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	remove on any region						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/	/Germán Viana Di Prisc	0/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Examiner, Art Unit 2617						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant basically argues in page 14 of the remarks that "not setting the happy-bit, if the user equipment transmits uplink data via the E-DCH without utilizing the maximum amount of uplink resources for scheduled uplink data as allowed by scheduling grants" is a negative condition ("not setting") that is not checked in Mueckenheim or 3GPP '309.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees because section 9.3.1,2., page 25 of 3GPP'309 recites the following:

9.3.1,2 Happy bit of E-DPCCH

One bit of the E-DPCCH is used to indicate whether or not the UE is satisfied ('happy') with the current Serving Grant. This bit shall always be present during uplink transmission.

The UE shall indicate that it is 'unhappy' if both of the following criteria are met:

- 1) UE has Power available to send at higher data rates (E-TFCs) (link to E-TFC selection/elimination over recent past is FFS, filtering is FFS), and
- 2) Total buffer status would require more than X TTIs with the current Grants (where X is configurable). Details are FFS.

Otherwise, the UE shall indicate that it is 'happy'.

As best understood by the Examiner "Otherwise, the UE shall indicate that is happy" means that the UE will not set the happy bit to indicate that it is "unhappy" if both criteria 1 and 2 above are not met which reads on the Applicant's claimed negative condition.